

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Clerk of the House of Representatives<br>Legislative Resource Center<br>B-106 Cannon Building<br>Washington, DC 20515 | Secretary of the Senate<br>Office of Public Records<br>232 Hart Building<br>Washington, DC 20510 |
|---|--|

RECEIVED  
 SECRETARY OF THE SENATE  
 PUBLIC RECORDS  
 00 FEB 22 PM 2:34

## LOBBYING REPORT

Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 (Section 5) - All Filers Are Required To Complete This Page

|   |                                  |   |                               |
|---|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 1. Registrant Name<br><u>BAKER Healthcare Consulting, Inc.</u>  |                                  |   |                               |
| 2. Address <input type="checkbox"/> Check if different than previously reported<br><u>One American Square, Suite 2000 Box 82058</u> |                                  |   |                               |
| 3. Principal Place of Business (if different from line 2)<br>City: <u>INDIANAPOLIS</u> State/Zip (or Country) <u>IN 46282</u>       |                                  |   |                               |
| 4. Contact Name<br><u>DALE E. BAKER</u>   | Telephone<br><u>317-631-3613</u> | E-mail (optional)<br><u>DAKERHEALTH@yahoo.com</u> | 5. Senate ID #<br><u>5164</u> |
| 7. Client Name <input type="checkbox"/> Self<br><u>Katherine Shaw Bethel Hospital</u>   |                                  |   | 6. House ID #<br><u>33560</u> |

**TYPE OF REPORT** 8. Year 1999 Midyear (January 1-June 30)  OR Year End (July 1-December 31)

9. Check if this filing amends a previously filed version of this report

10. Check if this is a Termination Report  ⇨ Termination Date \_\_\_\_\_ 11. No Lobbying Activity

| INCOME OR EXPENSES - Complete Either Line 12 OR Line 13   |   |
|---|---|
| <p style="text-align: center;"><b>12. Lobbying Firms</b></p> <p>INCOME relating to lobbying activities for this reporting period was:</p> <p>Less than \$10,000 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>\$10,000 or more <input type="checkbox"/> ⇨ \$ _____<br/> <small>Income (nearest \$20,000)</small></p> <p>Provide a good faith estimate, rounded to the nearest \$20,000, of all lobbying related income from the client (including all payments to the registrant by any other entity for lobbying activities on behalf of the client).</p> | <p style="text-align: center;"><b>13. Organizations</b></p> <p>EXPENSES relating to lobbying activities for this reporting period were:</p> <p>Less than \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>\$10,000 or more <input type="checkbox"/> ⇨ \$ _____<br/> <small>Expenses (nearest \$20,000)</small></p> <p><b>14. REPORTING METHOD.</b> Check box to indicate expense accounting method. See instructions for description of options.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Method A. Reporting amounts using LDA definitions only</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Method B. Reporting amounts under section 6033(b)(8) of the Internal Revenue Code</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Method C. Reporting amounts under section 162(e) of the Internal Revenue Code</p> |

Signature Dale E. Baker

Printed Name and Title DALE E. BAKER, President

Registrant Name BAKER Healthcare Consult Client Name Catherine Shaw Betha Hosp

**LOBBYING ACTIVITY.** Select as many codes as necessary to reflect the general issue areas in which the registrant engaged in lobbying on behalf of the client during the reporting period. Using a separate page for each code, provide information as requested. Attach additional page(s) as needed.

15. General issue area code MMM (one per page)

16. Specific lobbying issues  
See Attachment

17. House(s) of Congress and Federal agencies contacted  Check if None  
Senate  
House of Representatives

18. Name of each individual who acted as a lobbyist in this issue area

| Name                 | Covered Official Position (if applicable) | New                      |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------|
| <u>DALE E. BAKER</u> |   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|                      |   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|                      |   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|                      |   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|                      |   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|                      |   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|                      |   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|                      |   | <input type="checkbox"/> |

19. Interest of each foreign entity in the specific issues listed on line 16 above  Check if None

Signature Dale E Baker Date 2-3-2020  
Printed Name and Title DALE E. BAKER, President

THE 90% OCCUPATIONAL MIX GROUP  
MEDICARE GEOGRAPHIC RECLASSIFICATION ISSUE

Executive Summary

On August 5, 1997, President Clinton signed the Balanced Budget Act (BBA) of 1997. Included in the health provisions of the BBA Congress and the President legislated an important provision designed to improve Medicare payment to a key group of rural hospitals known as Rural Referral Centers (RRCs). These rural hospitals are large urban like facilities located in rural America that provide primary, secondary, and in some cases tertiary care to surrounding rural residents. The BBA exempted RRCs from an onerous criteria that only approximately fifty percent of the RRCs could meet to allow all RRCs to obtain higher Medicare payment based on the prevailing wage levels in the nearest metropolitan area. This important provision recognizes that these sophisticated hospitals are in direct competition with urban medical centers for health professionals and therefore must be paid by the Medicare program rates that are comparable to city Medicare rates.

Three weeks after the President signed the BBA the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) eliminated an alternative criteria that affected approximately thirty rural hospitals nationally (approximately twelve to fifteen percent of the total RRCs). Since 1990, hospitals have had the opportunity to seek the higher urban wage index of the nearest metropolitan area if the rural facility met certain annual statistical criteria. The basic test allow hospitals to be reclassified if the hospital's average hourly wage was equal to 84% or more of the nearest Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA). If a hospital's hourly wage is less than 84% of the nearby Metro area, Congress mandated that an "occupational mix" adjustment be used to offset any impact on the rural hospital's average hourly wage caused by using a lower skill mix than urban hospitals. This could be caused by either the inability of the hospital to find health professionals in their area or the hospital's financial need to use fewer professionals.

Hospitals with an average hourly wage of less than 84% of the nearby metropolitan area could still be reclassified if using the occupational mix of the metro area multiplied by the wage rates of the rural hospital yields 90% or more of the metro average hourly wage. The American Hospital Association (AHA) was the only organization collecting this occupational mix data and as a result of downsizing, they discontinued the survey data. Because HCFA did not have any other source of data, the 90% criteria was eliminated by regulation on August 29, 1997, three weeks after the President signed the BBA.

The legislation or administrative actions we are requesting would require HCFA to continue to use the AHA data until alternative sources of occupational mix data become available. Statistical comparisons indicate that occupational mix data changes very slowly and thus it would be reasonable to use older data for this purpose.

Adoption of the legislation would improve payment equity to the approximately thirty RRCs throughout the country that do not meet the basic 84% criteria but are able to demonstrate that the occupational mix adjusted average hourly wage is 90% or greater of the nearby metropolitan area. This provision would also impact a relatively small number of other rural hospitals that have not been designated as RRCs. The proposal is budget neutral and would shift an inconsequential amount from the 5,100 US hospitals to affected providers.

Hospitals in at least nine states are contacting legislators on this issue in December of 1997.  
01108DEB.DOC